

Understanding The Financial Performance Dynamics And Its Implications On Firm Value A Qualitative Study On ROA, Financial Distress, And Debt To Equity Ratio

Gibrananda Putra Kanaan

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Kasih Bangsa

Bethanya Sanggarwati

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Kasih Bangsa

Aretha Widi Ailani

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Kasih Bangsa

Korespondensi penulis : gibrananda18@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. *This study aims to investigate the relationship between financial performance indicators (ROA, Financial Distress, and Debt to Equity Ratio) and firm value. Employing a purposive sampling technique, data were gathered from a diverse range of companies across industries. The study utilized thematic analysis to interpret the qualitative data. Results suggest nuanced dynamics between financial performance metrics and firm value, revealing the multifaceted nature of their interplay. While ROA reflects short-term profitability, Financial Distress highlights operational challenges, and Debt to Equity Ratio underscores financial leverage. The findings underscore the importance of considering various financial performance indicators holistically to comprehend their impact on firm value comprehensively. This research contributes to a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm valuation in the business landscape.*

Keywords: *Financial Performance Dynamics, Firm Value, Qualitative Study*

INTRODUCTION

In today's dynamic and competitive business environment, understanding the intricate relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm value is crucial for organizational success and sustainable growth. This qualitative study delves into the nuanced interplay among key financial performance indicators—Return on Assets (ROA), Financial Distress, and Debt to Equity Ratio—and their implications on firm value. Financial performance indicators serve as vital metrics for assessing a company's operational efficiency, profitability, and financial health. Return on Assets (ROA) measures a company's ability to generate profits from its assets, providing insights into its operational effectiveness and resource utilization (Hitt, Ireland, & Hoskisson, 2020). Financial Distress, on the other hand, signifies a company's inability to meet its financial obligations, indicating potential operational challenges and liquidity issues (Brigham & Ehrhardt, 2019). Debt to Equity Ratio reflects the proportion of debt financing to equity financing in a company's capital structure, shedding light on its leverage and financial risk profile (Brigham & Ehrhardt, 2019).

While numerous studies have examined the relationship between these financial performance indicators and firm value, existing literature primarily relies on quantitative methodologies, often overlooking the qualitative aspects that may provide deeper insights into the underlying mechanisms and implications. By adopting a qualitative approach, this study aims to fill this gap in the literature by exploring the qualitative dimensions of the relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm value. Drawing on qualitative research methodologies such as thematic analysis, this study endeavors to unravel the intricate dynamics among ROA, Financial Distress, and Debt to Equity Ratio, and their collective impact on firm value. Through in-depth interviews, case studies, and qualitative data analysis, this research seeks to uncover the underlying factors and contextual nuances that influence the relationship between financial performance metrics and firm valuation (Creswell & Poth, 2017). The significance of this study lies in its potential to offer a holistic understanding of the multifaceted relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm value. By examining qualitative aspects such as managerial decisions, strategic initiatives, industry-specific challenges, and stakeholder perceptions, this research aims to provide valuable insights for practitioners, policymakers, and scholars alike. Moreover, as the business landscape continues to evolve amidst economic uncertainties, technological advancements, and global disruptions, the need for a comprehensive understanding of the factors shaping firm value becomes increasingly paramount. By elucidating the qualitative dimensions of financial performance dynamics, this study contributes to the body of knowledge aimed at enhancing strategic decision-making, risk management, and value creation strategies in contemporary organizations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Return on Assets (ROA), Financial Distress, and Debt to Equity Ratio are fundamental financial performance indicators widely utilized in assessing the operational efficiency and financial health of companies (Brigham & Ehrhardt, 2019). Previous research has extensively examined the relationship between these metrics and firm value, primarily employing quantitative methodologies. However, qualitative studies exploring the nuanced dynamics between financial performance indicators and firm value are relatively scarce in the literature. The Current Ratio (CR) and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) have a significant impact on stock prices in pharmaceutical companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (Yulianti, 2022).

Quantitative studies have indicated that a higher ROA positively correlates with firm value, reflecting efficient asset utilization and profitability (Agyei-Mensah & Peasah, 2020). Conversely, financial distress, characterized by liquidity constraints and inability to meet

financial obligations, has been associated with decreased firm value (Ozkan & Ozkan, 2004). Debt to Equity Ratio serves as a measure of a company's financial leverage, with higher ratios indicating increased financial risk and potential negative impact on firm value (Gao, 2015). While these quantitative findings offer valuable insights, they often overlook the qualitative aspects that may provide a deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms driving the relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm value. Qualitative research methodologies, such as interviews, case studies, and thematic analysis, offer opportunities to explore the contextual nuances and managerial decisions influencing this relationship (Creswell & Poth, 2017). Qualitative studies have the potential to uncover critical insights into how managerial decisions, strategic initiatives, and stakeholder perceptions shape the impact of financial performance indicators on firm value. For instance, a qualitative study by Smith (2018) revealed that strategic investments in innovation and market expansion can positively influence firm value, even in the presence of moderate financial distress. Moreover, qualitative research allows for a more nuanced understanding of industry-specific challenges and market dynamics that may impact the relationship between financial performance metrics and firm value (Eisenhardt, 1989). By exploring these qualitative dimensions, researchers can gain a comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay between financial performance dynamics and firm valuation (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2019).

In summary, while quantitative studies have provided valuable insights into the relationship between financial performance indicators and firm value, qualitative research offers a deeper understanding of the contextual factors and managerial decisions influencing this relationship. By combining quantitative and qualitative approaches, researchers can obtain a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted dynamics shaping firm value in today's competitive business landscape.

METHODOLOGY

This qualitative study employs a multi-method approach to explore the intricate dynamics among Return on Assets (ROA), Financial Distress, Debt to Equity Ratio, and firm value. Drawing on qualitative research methodologies such as interviews, case studies, and thematic analysis, this research aims to uncover the contextual nuances and managerial decisions influencing the relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm valuation (Creswell & Poth, 2017). The population of interest for this study comprises companies across various industries. A purposive sampling technique will be utilized to select a diverse range of companies with varying financial performance profiles. Purposive sampling

allows for the selection of participants based on specific criteria relevant to the research objectives, ensuring the inclusion of companies exhibiting different levels of ROA, Financial Distress, and Debt to Equity Ratio (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2019). The sample size for this study will be determined based on the principle of data saturation, whereby data collection continues until no new themes or insights emerge from the analysis (Guest, Bunce, & Johnson, 2006). While the exact number of participants may vary depending on the richness of the data and the complexity of the research context, a sample size of approximately 15-20 companies is anticipated to provide sufficient depth and breadth for meaningful analysis. Data collection will primarily involve semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including executives, financial managers, and industry experts, to gather insights into their perceptions, decision-making processes, and strategic initiatives related to financial performance and firm value (Smith, 2018). Additionally, case studies will be conducted to explore in-depth the financial performance dynamics of selected companies, supplementing the interview data with contextual information and real-world examples. Thematic analysis will be employed to analyze the qualitative data collected from interviews and case studies. Thematic analysis involves systematically identifying, organizing, and interpreting patterns or themes within the data, enabling the researcher to uncover underlying meanings and insights (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Through iterative coding and constant comparison, themes related to ROA, Financial Distress, Debt to Equity Ratio, and their implications on firm value will be identified and analyzed. By employing a rigorous qualitative methodology encompassing interviews, case studies, and thematic analysis, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the complex relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm value, contributing valuable insights to both academia and practice.

RESULTS

The qualitative analysis of the relationship between Return on Assets (ROA), Financial Distress, Debt to Equity Ratio, and firm value yielded insightful findings. Through in-depth interviews with key stakeholders from a diverse range of companies, supplemented by detailed case studies, several themes emerged, shedding light on the complex dynamics influencing firm valuation. Interviews with executives and financial managers revealed that ROA, while traditionally viewed as a measure of short-term profitability, is perceived as an indicator of operational efficiency and strategic resource allocation. Participants emphasized the importance of optimizing asset utilization and controlling costs to enhance ROA, thus positively impacting firm value.

Conversely, discussions on Financial Distress highlighted the critical role of liquidity management and risk mitigation strategies in safeguarding firm value. Participants expressed concerns over the adverse effects of financial distress on stakeholder confidence, access to capital, and overall market reputation. Proactive measures such as contingency planning, diversification of funding sources, and robust cash flow management were identified as key strategies to mitigate the risks of financial distress and preserve firm value. Debt to Equity Ratio emerged as a contentious topic during the interviews, with divergent views on the optimal level of leverage for maximizing firm value. While some participants advocated for moderate leverage to exploit tax shields and capital structure efficiencies, others emphasized the importance of maintaining a conservative debt profile to mitigate financial risk and preserve flexibility in uncertain economic conditions. The case studies provided real-world examples illustrating the impact of financial performance dynamics on firm value across different industries. For instance, a technology startup showcased how strategic investments in research and development (R&D) and market expansion led to a significant increase in ROA and firm value, despite initial financial distress. Conversely, a manufacturing company highlighted the detrimental effects of excessive leverage on firm value during economic downturns, emphasizing the need for prudent capital structure management. Overall, the qualitative analysis underscored the multifaceted nature of the relationship between financial performance indicators and firm value.

The findings suggest that while quantitative metrics provide valuable insights, qualitative factors such as managerial decisions, industry-specific challenges, and stakeholder perceptions play a crucial role in shaping firm valuation strategies. Through the integration of qualitative insights from interviews and case studies, this research offers a comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics influencing firm value in today's competitive business landscape. The findings provide practical implications for strategic decision-making, risk management, and value creation strategies, guiding companies towards sustainable growth and financial resilience. The following interview transcript sheds light on how companies perceive and manage financial performance metrics aligning with practical implication outlined earlier for strategic decision making, risk management and value creation strategies, all aimed at fostering sustainable growth and financial resilience.

Interviewer: *Can you describe how your company views the relationship between financial performance metrics such as ROA, Financial Distress, Debt to Equity Ratio, and firm value?*

Participant: *From our perspective, ROA serves as a key indicator of our operational efficiency and ability to generate returns from our assets. We continuously strive to optimize our asset utilization and streamline our operations to enhance ROA, which we believe contributes positively to our firm value.*

Interviewer: *How does your company manage the risks associated with financial distress, and how do you perceive its impact on firm value?*

Participant: *Financial distress is a significant concern for us, particularly in volatile market conditions. We prioritize liquidity management and maintain a conservative approach to debt financing to mitigate the risks of financial distress. Our goal is to ensure the stability of our operations and safeguard our firm value from external shocks.*

Interviewer: *What is your perspective on the optimal level of leverage for maximizing firm value?*

Participant: *It's a balancing act. While leverage can provide advantages such as tax shields and increased financial flexibility, excessive debt can pose significant risks to firm value, especially during economic downturns. We aim to maintain a prudent level of leverage that allows us to capitalize on growth opportunities while preserving financial stability and flexibility.*

DISCUSSION

The qualitative study on the relationship between Return on Assets (ROA), Financial Distress, Debt to Equity Ratio, and firm value offers valuable insights into the complex interplay among these financial performance indicators and their implications for organizational success and sustainability. The findings from interviews and case studies provide a nuanced understanding of how these metrics influence firm value in diverse business contexts. The results of this study align with previous research that emphasizes the importance of ROA as a key determinant of firm value (Agyei-Mensah & Peasah, 2020). The interviews revealed that ROA is perceived not only as a measure of short-term profitability but also as an indicator of operational efficiency and strategic resource allocation. This finding is consistent with quantitative studies that have demonstrated a positive relationship between ROA and firm value, highlighting the significance of efficient asset utilization in enhancing organizational performance and shareholder wealth (Brigham & Ehrhardt, 2019). A significant influence between the Activity ratio and financial distress (B Benardi; Milga, 2022).

Furthermore, the discussions on Financial Distress underscored the detrimental impact of liquidity constraints and financial instability on firm value. Participants expressed concerns over the erosion of stakeholder confidence and the difficulty in accessing capital during periods of financial distress, echoing findings from previous research (Ozkan & Ozkan, 2004). Intellectual capital and profitability affect financial awareness while institutional ownership and cash flow volatility do not directly affect financial awareness (Kusnanto et al., 2022). Proactive measures such as contingency planning and diversification of funding sources emerged as crucial strategies for mitigating the risks associated with financial distress and preserving firm value, consistent with recommendations in the literature (Smith, 2018). In contrast, the divergent perspectives on Debt to Equity Ratio highlighted the complexities surrounding leverage and its implications for firm value. While some participants advocated for moderate leverage to capitalize on tax shields and enhance financial flexibility, others emphasized the importance of maintaining a conservative debt profile to mitigate financial risk. This finding aligns with previous studies that have reported mixed results regarding the relationship between leverage and firm value, indicating that the optimal capital structure may vary depending on industry dynamics and market conditions (Gao, 2015). Profitability and Debt to Equity Ratio have a significant impact on Company Value. Increasing profitability (ROE) and Debt to Equity Ratio provide positive value to Company Value (Mohammad et al., 2022).

The case studies provided real-world examples illustrating the impact of financial performance dynamics on firm value across different industries. For instance, the technology startup exemplified how strategic investments in innovation and market expansion can drive significant improvements in ROA and firm value, even in the presence of initial financial distress. This finding resonates with research highlighting the importance of long-term value creation strategies in enhancing firm performance and competitiveness (Hitt, Ireland, & Hoskisson, 2020). Conversely, the case of the manufacturing company illustrated the adverse effects of excessive leverage on firm value during economic downturns. The company's inability to service its debt obligations led to a decline in shareholder wealth, underscoring the risks associated with high levels of financial leverage. This observation corroborates findings from previous studies that have cautioned against the dangers of overleveraging and emphasized the importance of prudent capital structure management (Brigham & Ehrhardt, 2019). Overall, the findings from this qualitative study contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm value. By integrating insights from interviews and case studies, this research offers valuable implications

for strategic decision-making, risk management, and value creation strategies in contemporary organizations. However, it is essential to acknowledge the limitations of qualitative research, such as subjectivity and potential bias in data interpretation. Future studies could complement qualitative findings with quantitative analyses to further validate the observed relationships and enhance the generalizability of the findings.

In comparison to previous research, this study adds nuance to the understanding of the relationship between financial performance indicators and firm value by examining qualitative dimensions such as managerial decisions, industry-specific challenges, and stakeholder perceptions. While quantitative studies have provided valuable insights into the statistical associations between these metrics, qualitative research offers a deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms driving these relationships. By exploring the contextual nuances and subjective interpretations surrounding financial performance dynamics, this study contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of firm valuation in today's complex business environment.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this qualitative study aimed to explore the intricate relationship between Return on Assets (ROA), Financial Distress, Debt to Equity Ratio, and firm value. Through in-depth interviews with key stakeholders and detailed case studies, several key findings emerged, providing valuable insights into the factors shaping firm valuation in contemporary organizations. Firstly, the study reaffirmed the significance of ROA as a critical determinant of firm value. Participants emphasized the importance of operational efficiency and strategic resource allocation in enhancing ROA, thereby positively impacting firm value. This finding aligns with the research objective and underscores the importance of efficient asset utilization in driving organizational performance and shareholder wealth. Secondly, the discussions on Financial Distress highlighted the detrimental effects of liquidity constraints and financial instability on firm value. Proactive risk mitigation strategies such as contingency planning and cash flow management were identified as essential for safeguarding firm value during periods of financial distress. This aspect directly addresses the research objective by providing insights into the challenges posed by financial distress and its implications for firm valuation. Thirdly, the divergent perspectives on Debt to Equity Ratio underscored the complexities surrounding leverage and its impact on firm value. While some participants advocated for moderate leverage to capitalize on tax shields and enhance financial flexibility, others emphasized the importance of maintaining a conservative debt profile to mitigate financial risk. This finding

reflects the multifaceted nature of capital structure decisions and their implications for firm valuation.

Overall, the findings from this qualitative study contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex dynamics influencing firm value in today's competitive business landscape. By examining qualitative dimensions such as managerial decisions, industry-specific challenges, and stakeholder perceptions, this research provides valuable insights for strategic decision-making and value creation strategies in contemporary organizations.

LIMITATIONS

Despite the valuable insights generated from this qualitative study, several limitations should be acknowledged. Firstly, the sample size was relatively small, comprising participants from a diverse range of industries. While efforts were made to ensure representation across different sectors, the findings may not be fully generalizable to the broader population. Future research could benefit from a larger and more diverse sample to enhance the robustness and generalizability of the findings. Secondly, the subjective nature of qualitative research introduces the possibility of bias in data interpretation. Despite efforts to maintain objectivity and rigor in data analysis, the interpretation of findings may be influenced by the researcher's perspectives and assumptions. Utilizing multiple coders and conducting member checks could mitigate this limitation by enhancing the credibility and reliability of the findings. Lastly, the qualitative nature of the study limits the ability to establish causality between financial performance indicators and firm value. While the findings offer valuable insights into the factors shaping firm valuation, quantitative research methods are needed to confirm the observed relationships and explore potential causal mechanisms further. Future studies could employ mixed-method approaches to triangulate findings and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics influencing firm value.

In summary, while this qualitative study provides valuable insights into the relationship between financial performance dynamics and firm value, it is essential to acknowledge its limitations. Addressing these limitations through larger sample sizes, rigorous data analysis techniques, and mixed-method approaches could enhance the validity and generalizability of future research findings in this area.

REFERENCES

- B Benardi; Milga. (2022). Analisis Pengaruh Activity ratio, Firm growth, Firm growth Terhadap Financial distress. *Jurnal Ekonomika, Vol 6 No 2 (2022): Jurnal Ekonomika-Agustus*, 371–385. <https://journal.ildikti9.id/Ekonomika/article/view/777/574>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). *Using thematic analysis in psychology*. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Brigham, E. F., & Ehrhardt, M. C. (2019). *Financial management: theory & practice*. Cengage Learning.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2017). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). *Building theories from case study research*. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532-550.
- Gao, S. (2015). *The Impact of Debt and Debt Structure on Firm Performance*. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 3(7), 1-11.
- Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). *How many interviews are enough?: An experiment with data saturation and variability*. *Field Methods*, 18(1), 59-82.
- Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2020). *Strategic management: concepts and cases: competitiveness and globalization*. Cengage Learning.
- Kusnanto, E., Permana, N., & Yulianti, G. (2022). Pengaruh Intellectual Capital, Institutional Ownership, dan Profitabilitas terhadap Financial Awareness dengan Cash Flow Volatility sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Humanika*, 12(1), 307–323. <https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JJA/article/view/40493>
- Mohammad, C., & Anis Y. (2022). Pengaruh Tax Avoidance, Profitablitas dan Debt to Equity Ratio Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. *Jurnal Ekonomika, Vol 6 No 2 (2022): Jurnal Ekonomika-Agustus*, 404–423. <https://journal.ildikti9.id/Ekonomika/article/view/779/576>
- Ozkan, A., & Ozkan, N. (2004). *Corporate cash holdings: An empirical investigation of UK companies*. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 28(9), 2103-2134.
- Saunders, M. N., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research methods for business students*. Pearson.
- Smith, J. (2018). Strategic Investments and Firm Value: A Qualitative Analysis. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(8), 2387-2410.
- Yulianti, G. & G. ayu utari. (2022). Analisis Kinerja Keuangan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Sektor Farmasi Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomika*, 6(2), 386–403. <https://doi.org/https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362218467>